

Appendix 8a : DLUHC RAG RATING

Delivery	Score	Spend	Score	Risks	Score
Major issues causing significant delays (more than 6 months); processes interrupted or not carried out as planned (E.g. planning permission not secured); or significant changes to project. Project likely to under-deliver on forecast outputs.	5	A variance of over 50% against profiled financial forecast (total expenditure) or significant changes to project finances required (increases or decreases) due to poor or delayed delivery.	5	Project includes significant risks that are both high impact and high likelihood. Risk responses not yet planned.	5
Issues arising causing long delays to the timetable (3 to 6 months) but no significant changes required to overall project. Outputs may still be deliverable but challenging.	4	A variance of between 30% & 50% against profiled financial forecast (total expenditure). Budget changes have been required due to issues with project delivery.	4	Project with significant risks that are either high impact or high likelihood. Risk responses planned but not implemented.	4
Issues arising causing short delays to the timetable (less than 3 months). Outputs still deliverable but require re-scheduling.	3	A variance of between 15% & 30% against profiled financial forecast. Some budget changes have been required.	3	Project with some risks that have medium impact and/or medium likelihood. Risk responses planned and implemented.	3
Minor issues have arisen causing only small delays. Project is on track to deliver outputs.	2	A variance of between 5% & 15%. Small re-profiling changes to budget required.	2	Project with some risks that have medium impact but low likelihood. Risk responses planned and implemented.	2
No problems. Project is on track to deliver outputs and keeping to schedule.	1	A variance of up to 5%. Spend is largely on track with any minor slippage expected to be picked up by the end of next quarter.	1	All risks are tolerable with low impact and likelihood and do not require a response.	1